UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,359	04/16/2004	Peter Gibson	COCH-0009-1	8104
22506 JAGTIANI + G	7590 01/31/2007		. EXAMINER	
10363-A DEM	OCRACY LANE		HOLMES, REX R	
FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3762	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MO	NTUS	01/31/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Lyi					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/825,359	GIBSON, PETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rex Holmes	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2006.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	n) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,13,15-19,25,26,40-43,49,50 and 57-88 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14,20-24,27-39 and 51-56</u> is	6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-12,14,20-24,27-39 and 51-56 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/16/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/16/04</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/825,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group 1 and Embodiments 1, 4 and 9, in the reply filed on 10/27/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claim 3, 13, 15-19, 25-26, 40-43, 49-50 and 57-88, withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group/Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/27/06.
- 3. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 is vague and unclear as it states "a housing to be secured to a patients bone", a system claim cannot claim a connection to a body. It is suggested to use "adapted to be" or "for securing" instead of "to be".
- 7. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the tissue-stimulating prosthesis" in lines 1 and 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,359 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

8. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the cochlear implant" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 9. Claims 11 and 12 are vague, as a device cannot claim a bone or periosteal pocket, it is suggested to put the claims in functional language relative to a claimed element.
- 10. Claim 32 is vague and unclear as it states "a housing to be secured to a patients bone", a system claim cannot claim a connection to a body. It is suggested to use "adapted to be" or "for securing" instead of "to be".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 12. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-12, 14, 20, 32-35, 38-39, 44 and 47are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Westerkull (U.S. Pat. 7,043,040).
- 13. Westerkull discloses a hearing aid/cochlear apparatus that comprises a housing adapted to abut the patients bone (Figure 2, element 8), components located within the housing (8), and an osseointegrating protuberance that extends from the housing into the bone (Element 3; Abstract; Col. 2, II. 16-22). Westerkull further discloses that the housing contains a stimulating unit and a receiver (Col. 2, II. 50-55; Col. 3, II. 15-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/825,359 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

14. Westerkull discloses that the implantable device is configured, to be secured to the mastoid portion of the temporal bone in the tissue surrounding the skull (Fig. 1-3). Westerkull further discloses that the fixation device is a threaded screw and is capable of being removed after osseointegration (Figs. 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 24, 27-31, 48 and 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westerkull.
- 17. Regarding claims 27-28 and 51-53, Westerkull discloses the claimed invention including osseointegration but does not disclose the type of material that is used to encourage osseointegration. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hearing aid as taught by Westerkull, with titanium or another biocompatible material that enhances osseointegration since it was known in the art that those materials are biocompatible, and that biocompatible material are required to make implantation successful, and in order to have osseointegration, the biocompatible material has to encourage osseointegration.
- 18. Regarding claims 24, 29-31, 48 and 54-56, Westerkull discloses the claimed invention including osseointegration but does not disclose whether the case

Application/Control Number: 10/825,359

Art Unit: 3762

osseointegrates or what the case is formed out of. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hearing aid housing as taught by Westerkull, with a biocompatible material that prevents osseointegration since it was known in the art that electrical components become outdated and need to be replaced and the prevention of osseointegration of the housing allows for easy replacement of the components.

- 19. Claims 5-6, 21-23, 36-37 and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westerkull as applied to claims 1, 4, 32, 35 above, and further in view of Håkansson (U.S. Pat. 6,840,919).
- 20. Regarding claims 5-6, 21-23, 36-37 and 45-46, Westerkull discloses the claimed invention as described in detail above, except for the elongated flanges that hold the disposed protuberances at opposing angles. Håkansson teaches that it is known to use flanges and anchoring devices that are disposed at an opposing angle that is approximately 85 degrees and in the same plane as set forth in Figures 1 and 2 to provide anchoring means for the implantable device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hearing aid as taught by Westerkull, with flanges and multiple anchors disposed in the flanges at opposing angles as taught by Håkansson, since such a modification would provide the hearing aid with strong anchoring means for providing increased hold and more surface area for osseointegration.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,359

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rex Holmes whose telephone number is 571-272-8827.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rex Holmes Examiner

Art Unit 3762

George Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762 Page 6

1/29/7